arXiv:1508.01363v1 [math.FA] 6 Aug 2015

APPROXIMATE COHOMOLOGY IN BANACH ALGEBRAS

A. SHIRINKALAM AND A. POURABBAS

Abstract. We introduce the notions of approximate cohomology and approximate homotopy in Banach algebras and we study the relation between them. We show that the approximate homotopically equivalent cochain complexes give the same approximate cohomologies. As an special case, approximate Hochschild cohomology is introduced and studied.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

The notion of amenability for Banach algebras was first introduced by Johnson [3]. He showed that the definition of amenability is equivalent to vanishing of $\mathcal{H}^n(\mathcal{A}, X^*)$ for all n and all $\mathcal{A}$-module X. Later, Ghahramani and Loy [2] generalized the notion of amenability and they introduced the approximately amenable Banach algebras. Here we introduce an equivalent definition for approximate amenability. To do this first we generalize the definition of Hochschild cohomology to approximate cohomology and then we show that the definition of approximate amenability is equivalent to vanishing of higher dimension approximate cohomology.

The paper is organized as follows. After some preliminary material and definitions in section 1, we define a concept of approximate cohomology for Banach algebras in section 2. Also we define a notion of approximate homotopically equivalence relation for two cochain complexes of Banach spaces and we show that if the cochains are approximate homotopically equivalent, then they will have the same approximate cohomologies. In section 3, we apply these notions for a certain cochain complex and we investigate some useful results.

Let $\mathcal{A}$ a Banach algebra and let X be a Banach $\mathcal{A}$-bimodule. The dual space X^* of X becomes a Banach $\mathcal{A}$-bimodule via the following actions

$$\langle x, a\cdot\varphi\rangle = \langle x\cdot a, \varphi\rangle, \qquad \langle x, \varphi\cdot a\rangle = \langle a\cdot x, \varphi\rangle \qquad (a\in\mathcal{A}, x\in X, \varphi\in X^*). \tag{1.1}$$

For a Banach algebra $\mathcal{A}$, the projective tensor product $\mathcal{A}\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{A}$ is a Banach algebra with respect to the multiplication defined by $(a\otimes b)(c\otimes d) = ac\otimes bd$ [1]. If X and Y are Banach $\mathcal{A}$-bimodules, then $X\hat{\otimes}Y$ becomes a Banach $\mathfrak{A}$-bimodule with the canonical actions. Also, we use the isometric isomorphism $(X\hat{\otimes}Y)^* \simeq \mathcal{BL}(X, Y^*)$([1]).

Recall that an $\mathcal{A}$-bimodule X is called neo-unital if every $x\in X$ can be written as $a\cdot y\cdot b$ for some $a, b\in\mathcal{A}$ and $y\in X$.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 46M20; Secondary: 46M18, 46H25.

Key words and phrases. Approximate cohomology, approximate amenable, approximate Hochschild cohomology, approximate homotopy, co-chain complex.

Remark 1.1. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a Banach algebra with a bounded approximate identity and let X be a Banach $\mathcal{A}$-bimodule. Then by [3, Proposition 1.8], the subspace $X_{ess} := \operatorname{lin}\{a\cdot x\cdot b : a,b\in\mathcal{A},\, x\in X\}$ is a neo-unital closed sub-$\mathcal{A}$-bimodule of X. Moreover, $X_{ess}^{\perp}$ is complemented in X^*.

Definition 1.2. [4, Definition 5.2.1] A cochain complex $E = (E_n, \delta^n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ of Banach spaces is a sequence of Banach spaces along with bounded linear maps

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{\delta^{n-1}} E_n \xrightarrow{\delta^{n}} E_{n+1} \xrightarrow{\delta^{n+1}} E_{n+2} \xrightarrow{\delta^{n+2}} \cdots$$

such that for every $n\in\mathbb{Z}$, $\delta^n\circ\delta^{n-1} = 0$.

Definition 1.3. [4, Definition 5.2.3] Let $E = (E_n, \delta^n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ be a cochain complex of Banach spaces. Let $\mathcal{Z}^n(E) := \ker\delta^n$ and let $\mathcal{B}^n(E) := \operatorname{ran}\delta^{n-1}$. Then

$$\mathcal{H}^n(E) := \mathcal{Z}^n(E)/\mathcal{B}^n(E),$$

is called the $n-$th cohomology group of the cochain complex E.

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a Banach algebra and let X be a Banach $\mathcal{A}$-bimodule. Then, for every $n\in\mathbb{N}$, the space of all bounded, $n-$linear maps from $\mathcal{A}$ into X is denoted by $\mathcal{BL}^n(\mathcal{A}, X)$.

Example 1.4. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a Banach algebra and let X be a Banach $\mathcal{A}$-bimodule. For every $n\geq 1$, we take $E_n = \mathcal{BL}^n(\mathcal{A}, X)$. Let $\delta^n : \mathcal{BL}^n(\mathcal{A}, X)\to\mathcal{BL}^{n+1}(\mathcal{A}, X)$ be defined by

$$\begin{aligned}(\delta^n T)(a_1, ..., a_{n+1}) &:= a_1\cdot T(a_2, ..., a_{n+1})\\ &\quad+\sum_{k=1}^{n}(-1)^k T(a_1, ..., a_k a_{k+1}, ..., a_{n+1})\\ &\quad+(-1)^{n+1}T(a_1, ..., a_n)\cdot a_{n+1}, \quad (T\in E_n, a_1, ..., a_{n+1}\in\mathcal{A}).\end{aligned}$$

In the case $n = 0$ we take $E_0 = X$ and $\delta^0 : X\to\mathcal{BL}^1(\mathcal{A}, X)$ is given by $\delta^0(x)(a) = a\cdot x - x\cdot a$. Then the sequence $E = (E_n, \delta^n)_{n\geq 0}$ is called the Hochschild cochain complex and

$$\mathcal{H}^n(\mathcal{A}, X) := \mathcal{Z}^n(\mathcal{A}, X)/\mathcal{B}^n(\mathcal{A}, X), \quad\text{and}\quad \mathcal{H}^0(\mathcal{A}, X) = \{x\in X : a\cdot x = x\cdot a, \forall a\in\mathcal{A}\}$$

is called the n-th Hochschild cohomology of $\mathcal{A}$ with coefficients in X.

Definition 1.5. [4, Definition 5.2.5] Let $E = (E_n, \delta_E^n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ and $F = (F_n, \delta_F^n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ be two cochain complexes of Banach spaces. A morphism $\varphi : E\to F$ is a family $(\varphi_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ of continuous linear maps $\varphi_n : E_n\to F_n$ such that for every $n\in\mathbb{Z}$, the following diagram commutes,

$$\begin{tikzcd} E_n \arrow[r, "\delta_E^n"] \arrow[d, "\varphi_n"'] & E_{n+1} \arrow[d, "\varphi_{n+1}"] \\ F_n \arrow[r, "\delta_F^n"'] & F_{n+1}. \end{tikzcd}$$

2. Approximate cohomology

In Example 1.4, when we consider $n = 1$ the elements of $\mathcal{Z}^1(\mathcal{A}, X)$ are called continuous dervations and the elements of $\mathcal{B}^1(\mathcal{A}, X)$ are called the inner derivations.

A continuous derivation $D : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow X$ is approximately inner if there is a net $(x_\nu) \subset X$ such that for every $a \in A$

$$D(a) = \lim_\nu \delta^0(x_\nu)(a) = \lim_\nu a \cdot x_\nu - x_\nu \cdot a.$$

the limit being in norm. That is, $D = \mathrm{st} - \lim_\nu \delta^0(x_\nu)$, the limit is taken in the strong topology of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}, X)$. A Banach algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is called approximately amenable, if for every Banach $\mathcal{A}$-bimodule X, every continuous derivation $D : \mathcal{A} \to X^*$ is approximately inner ([2, Definition 1.2]). This definition was a motivation for this section to introduce a concept of approximate cohomology and approximate homotopy. We show that if two complexes are approximate homotopically equivalent, then they give the same approximate cohomologies.

Definition 2.1. Let $(E_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ be a sequence of Banach spaces such that each E_n is equipped with a topology τ_n. For every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, suppose that $\delta^n : (E_n, \tau_n) \to (E_{n+1}, \tau_{n+1})$ is a continuous linear map and let $\delta^n \circ \delta^{n-1} = 0$. Then the triple (E, τ, δ) is called a τ-cochain complex, where $E = (E_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$, $\tau = (\tau_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ and $\delta = (\delta^n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$.

Sometimes for simplicity, we use $E = (E_n, \tau_n, \delta^n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ for a τ-cochain complex.

Definition 2.2. Let $E = (E_n, \tau_n, \delta^n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ be a τ-cochain complex of Banach spaces. For every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $\mathcal{Z}^n(E) := \ker \delta^n$ and $\mathcal{B}^n(E) := \operatorname{ran} \delta^{n-1}$. Then $\mathcal{Z}^n(E)$ is a τ_n-closed subspace of E_n and $\mathcal{B}^n(E) \subseteq \mathcal{Z}^n(E)$ is a subspace. We define

$$\mathcal{H}^n_{app}(E) := \mathcal{Z}^n(E)/\overline{\mathcal{B}^n(E)}^{\tau},$$

where the closure is taken in the topology τ_n of E_n. We call this quotient space, the n-th *approximate cohomology* of the complex E.

Proposition 2.3. *Let $E = (E_n, \tau_n, \delta^n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ be a τ-cochain complex of Banach spaces. If $\mathcal{H}^n(E) = \{0\}$, then $\mathcal{H}^n_{app}(E) = \{0\}$.*

Proof. Consider a surjective map $\theta : \mathcal{Z}^n(E) \to \mathcal{H}^n_{app}(E)$ defined by

$$\theta(T) = T + \overline{\mathcal{B}^n(E)}^{\tau_n} \quad (T \in \mathcal{Z}^n(E)).$$

The kernel of θ contains $\mathcal{B}^n(E)$, so there is an induced surjective map $\tilde{\theta} : \mathcal{H}^n(E) \to \mathcal{H}^n_{app}(E)$ defined by $\tilde{\theta}(T + \mathcal{B}^n(E)) = \theta(T)$. Clearly $\tilde{\theta}$ is injective if and only if $\mathcal{B}^n(E)$ is τ_n-closed in $\mathcal{Z}^n(E)$. Hence, $\mathcal{H}^n(E) = \{0\}$ implies that $\mathcal{H}^n_{app}(E) = \{0\}$. □

Note that the converse of Proposition 2.3 does not hold in general (see Example 3.3 below).

Definition 2.4. Let $E = (E_n, \tau_n, \delta^n_E)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ and $F = (F_n, \rho_n, \delta^n_F)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ be τ-cochain and ρ-cochain complexes, respectively. A (τ, ρ)-*morphism* $\varphi : E \to F$ is a morphism $\varphi = (\varphi_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ such that each $\varphi_n : (E_n, \tau_n) \to (F_n, \rho_n)$ is continuous.

The proof of the following lemma is straightforward.

Lemma 2.5. *Let* $E = (E_n, \tau_n, \delta_E^n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ *and* $F = (F_n, \rho_n, \delta_F^n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ *be* τ*-cochain and* ρ*-cochain complexes and let* $\varphi : E \to F$ *be a* (τ, ρ)*-morphism. For every* n*, we have* $\varphi_n(\mathcal{Z}^n(E)) \subseteq \mathcal{Z}^n(F)$*, and* $\varphi_n(\overline{\mathcal{B}^n(E)}^{\tau_n}) \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{B}^n(F)}^{\rho_n}$.

Corollary 2.6. *Let* E*,* F *and* φ *be as in Definition 2.4. Then,* φ *induces a sequence* $\overline{\varphi} = (\overline{\varphi_n})_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ *of linear maps*

$$\overline{\varphi_n} : \mathcal{H}^n_{app}(E) \to \mathcal{H}^n_{app}(F).$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.5, $\varphi_n(\mathcal{Z}^n(E)) \subseteq \mathcal{Z}^n(F)$ and $\varphi_n(\overline{\mathcal{B}^n(E)}^{\tau_n}) \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{B}^n(F)}^{\rho_n}$. Thus we can define a map $\overline{\varphi_n} : \mathcal{H}^n_{app}(E) \to \mathcal{H}^n_{app}(F)$ by $\overline{\varphi_n}(T + \overline{\mathcal{B}^n(E)}^{\tau_n}) = \varphi_n(T) + \overline{\mathcal{B}^n(F)}^{\rho_n} \quad (T \in \mathcal{Z}^n(E))$, as required. □

Definition 2.7. Let $E = (E_n, \tau_n, \delta_E^n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ and $F = (F_n, \rho_n, \delta_F^n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ be τ-cochain and ρ-cochain complexes, respectively. The (τ, ρ)-morphisms $\varphi = (\varphi_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ and $\psi = (\psi_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ from E into F are called *approximately homotopic*, if for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ there exists a family of bounded linear maps $(\xi^n_\alpha)_\alpha$ from E_{n+1} into F_n such that for every $T \in E_n$, we have

$$(\varphi_n - \psi_n)(T) = \rho_n - \lim_\alpha (\delta_F^{n-1} \circ \xi_\alpha^{n-1} + \xi_\alpha^n \circ \delta_E^n)(T),$$

whenever the limit exists. The family $(\xi^n_\alpha)_{\alpha,n}$ is called an approximate homotopy of φ and ψ.

Proposition 2.8. *Let* $E = (E_n, \tau_n, \delta_E^n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ *and* $F = (F_n, \rho_n, \delta_F^n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ *be* τ*-cochain and* ρ*-cochain complexes, respectively. Let* $\varphi, \psi : E \to F$ *be two approximately homotopic* (τ, ρ)*-morphisms. Then for every* $n \in \mathbb{Z}$*, we have* $\overline{\varphi_n} = \overline{\psi_n}$*, where* $\overline{\varphi_n}, \overline{\psi_n} : \mathcal{H}^n_{app}(E) \to \mathcal{H}^n_{app}(F)$ *are the induced maps as in Corollary 2.6.*

Proof. By assumption, there exists a family of bounded linear maps $(\xi^n_\alpha)_{\alpha,n}$ such that

$$(\varphi_n - \psi_n)(T) = \rho_n - \lim_\alpha (\delta_F^{n-1} \circ \xi_\alpha^{n-1} + \xi_\alpha^n \circ \delta_E^n)(T),$$

where $T \in \mathcal{Z}^n(E)$. Thus $\varphi_n(T) - \psi_n(T) = \rho_n - \lim_\alpha \delta_F^{n-1} \circ \xi_\alpha^{n-1}(T)$, so $\varphi_n(T) - \psi_n(T) \in \overline{\mathcal{B}^n(F)}^{\rho_n}$. Hence $\overline{\varphi_n}(T) = \varphi_n(T) + \overline{\mathcal{B}^n(F)}^{\rho_n} = \psi_n(T) + \overline{\mathcal{B}^n(F)}^{\rho_n} = \overline{\psi_n}(T)$. □

Definition 2.9. Suppose that $E = (E_n, \tau_n, \delta_E^n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ and $F = (F_n, \rho_n, \delta_F^n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ are τ-cochain and ρ-cochain complexes, respectively. Then E and F are called *approximate homotopically equivalent*, if there are two (τ, ρ)-morphism $\varphi : E \to F$ and (ρ, τ)-morphism $\psi : F \to E$ such that $\varphi \circ \psi$ and $\psi \circ \varphi$ are approximately homotopic to the identity morphism on F and E, respectively, that is, there exist two family of bounded linear maps $(\xi^n_\alpha)_{\alpha,n}$ and $(\eta^n_\beta)_{\beta,n}$ such that for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have $\xi^n_\alpha : E_{n+1} \to E_n$ and $\eta^n_\beta : F_{n+1} \to F_n$ satisfying

$$(\psi_n \circ \varphi_n)(T) - T = \tau_n - \lim_\alpha (\delta_E^{n-1} \circ \xi_\alpha^{n-1} + \xi_\alpha^n \circ \delta_E^n)(T), \quad (T \in E_n) \tag{2.1}$$

and

$$(\varphi_n \circ \psi_n)(S) - S = \rho_n - \lim_\beta (\delta_F^{n-1} \circ \eta_\beta^{n-1} + \eta_\beta^n \circ \delta_F^n)(S), \quad (S \in F_n). \tag{2.2}$$

Theorem 2.10. *Let* $E = (E_n, \tau_n, \delta_E^n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ *and* $F = (F_n, \rho_n, \delta_F^n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ *be approximate homotopically equivalent. Then for every* $n \in \mathbb{Z}$*,*

$$\mathcal{H}^n_{app}(E) \cong \mathcal{H}^n_{app}(F).$$

Proof. Since E and F are approximate homotopically equivalent, there exist (τ,ρ)-morphism $\varphi : E \to F$ and (ρ,τ)-morphism $\psi : F \to E$ and two family of continuous linear maps $(\xi_\alpha^n)_{\alpha,n}$ and $(\eta_\beta^n)_{\beta,n}$ which satisfy in the equations (2.1) and (2.2).

Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ be fixed. Consider the induced map $\overline{\varphi_n} : \mathcal{H}^n_{app}(E) \to \mathcal{H}^n_{app}(F)$ which is defined in Corollary 2.6. We show that $\overline{\varphi_n}$ is an isomorphism. Let $T \in \mathcal{Z}^n(E)$ be such that $\overline{\varphi_n}(T + \overline{\mathcal{B}^n(E)}^{\tau_n}) = 0$. Then $\varphi_n(T) \in \overline{\mathcal{B}^n(F)}^{\rho_n}$, so that $\psi_n \circ \varphi_n(T) \in \overline{\mathcal{B}^n(E)}^{\tau_n}$. Now by (2.1) we have

$$\psi_n \circ \varphi_n(T) - T = \tau_n - \lim_\alpha \delta_E^{n-1} \circ \xi_\alpha^{n-1}(T) \in \overline{\mathcal{B}^n(E)}^{\tau_n}.$$

Thus $T \in \overline{\mathcal{B}^n(E)}^{\tau_n}$. This means that $\overline{\varphi_n}$ is one-to-one.

Now, we show that $\overline{\varphi_n}$ is surjective. Let $S \in \mathcal{Z}^n(F)$ be such that $S + \overline{\mathcal{B}^n(F)}^{\rho_n} \in \mathcal{H}^n_{app}(F)$. By Lemma 2.5, $\psi_n(S) \in \mathcal{Z}^n(E)$, so $\psi_n(S) + \overline{\mathcal{B}^n(E)}^{\tau_n} \in \mathcal{H}^n_{app}(E)$. We show that

$$\overline{\varphi_n}(\psi_n(S) + \overline{\mathcal{B}^n(E)}^{\tau_n}) = S + \overline{\mathcal{B}^n(F)}^{\rho_n}. \tag{2.3}$$

Using (2.2) we obtain

$$\varphi_n \circ \psi_n(S) - S = \rho_n - \lim_\beta \delta_F^{n-1} \circ \eta_\beta^{n-1}(S) \in \overline{\mathcal{B}^n(F)}^{\rho_n}.$$

Hence $\varphi_n \circ \psi_n(S) + \overline{\mathcal{B}^n(F)}^{\rho_n} = S + \overline{\mathcal{B}^n(F)}^{\rho_n}$ which shows that the equation (2.3) holds. Thus $\overline{\varphi_n}$ is an isomorphism. □

3. Approximate Hochschild cohomology

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a Banach algebra and let X be a Banach $\mathcal{A}$-bimodule. In this section, we study the approximate cohomology in the special case when $E = (E_n, \tau_n, \delta^n)_{n\geq 0}$ is the Hochschild cochain complex and τ_n is the strong topology on $E_n = \mathcal{BL}^n(\mathcal{A}, X)$. By definition, a net $(T_i)_i \subseteq E_n$ converges strongly to $T \in E_n$ if $\|(T_i - T)(a_1, ..., a_n)\| \to 0 \quad (a_1, ..., a_n \in \mathcal{A})$.

Note that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the map $\delta^n : \mathcal{BL}^n(\mathcal{A}, X) \to \mathcal{BL}^{n+1}(\mathcal{A}, X)$ is strongly continuous. Throughout this section, for simplicity, we denote the Hochschild cochain complex by $E = (E_n, \delta^n)_{n\geq 0}$ and all the limits being taken in the strong topology.

Definition 3.1. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a Banach algebra and let X be a Banach $\mathcal{A}$-bimodule. The space $\ker \delta^n$ is denoted by $\mathcal{Z}^n(\mathcal{A}, X)$. The elements of $\mathcal{Z}^n(\mathcal{A}, X)$ are called the n-cocycles and the elements of $\operatorname{ran} \delta^{n-1} = \mathcal{B}^n(\mathcal{A}, X)$ are called the n-coboundaries. Then for $n \geq 1$ we define

$$\mathcal{H}^n_{app}(E) = \mathcal{H}^n_{app}(\mathcal{A}, X) := \mathcal{Z}^n(\mathcal{A}, X)/\overline{\mathcal{B}^n(\mathcal{A}, X)}^{\text{strong}},$$

is called the n-th *approximate Hochschild cohomology* of the complex E.

Example 3.2. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a Banach algebra and let X be a Banach $\mathcal{A}$-bimodule. By definition of approximate amenability, $\mathcal{A}$ is approximately amenable if and only if every continuous derivation $D : \mathcal{A} \to X^*$ is a strong-limit of inner derivations which means that $\mathcal{H}^1_{app}(\mathcal{A}, X^*) = \{0\}$.

Example 3.3. Gahramani and Loy [2] constructed an approximate amenable Banach algebra which is not amenable. This example shows that the notions of approximate Hochschild cohomology and Hochschild cohomology are distinct, at least for $n = 1$.

Remark 3.4. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a Banach algebra with a bounded approximate identity and let X be a Banach $\mathcal{A}$-bimodule. If $\mathcal{A}$ acts trivially from one side, then by [3, Proposition 1.5], for every n, $\mathcal{H}^n(\mathcal{A}, X^*) = \{0\}$. So by Proposition 2.3, $\mathcal{H}^n_{app}(\mathcal{A}, X^*) = \{0\}$.

Theorem 3.5. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a Banach algebra and let X be a Banach $\mathcal{A}$-bimodule. Let Y be a closed submodule of X which is complemented as a Banach space. Then, there are maps making the following sequence exact.*

$$\cdots \to \mathcal{H}^n_{app}(\mathcal{A}, Y) \to \mathcal{H}^n_{app}(\mathcal{A}, X) \to \mathcal{H}^n_{app}(\mathcal{A}, X/Y) \to \mathcal{H}^{n+1}_{app}(\mathcal{A}, Y) \to \cdots$$

Proof. We denote by ι, the injection map $Y \hookrightarrow X$ and by q, the usual quotient map $X \twoheadrightarrow X/Y$. Since Y is complemented, q is an isomorphism, so it has a bounded right inverse $p : X/Y \to X$.

We define a map $\iota_0 : \mathcal{BL}^n(\mathcal{A}, Y) \to \mathcal{BL}^n(\mathcal{A}, X)$ by $\iota_0(T) = \iota \circ T$ and a map $\iota_1 : \mathcal{BL}^{n+1}(\mathcal{A}, Y) \to \mathcal{BL}^{n+1}(\mathcal{A}, X)$ by $\iota_1(T) = \iota \circ T$. Also $q_0 : \mathcal{BL}^n(\mathcal{A}, X) \to \mathcal{BL}^n(\mathcal{A}, X/Y)$ is defined by $q_0(T) = q \circ T$ and $j : \mathcal{BL}^n(\mathcal{A}, X/Y) \to \mathcal{BL}^{n+1}(\mathcal{A}, Y)$ is defined by $j(T) = \delta^n_X pT - p\delta^n_{X/Y}T$, where $\delta^n_X : \mathcal{BL}^n(\mathcal{A}, X) \to \mathcal{BL}^{n+1}(\mathcal{A}, X)$ and $\delta^n_{X/Y} : \mathcal{BL}^n(\mathcal{A}, X/Y) \to \mathcal{BL}^{n+1}(\mathcal{A}, X/Y)$ are the corresponding coboundary operators. Note that since

$$qj(T) = q\delta^n_X pT - qp\delta^n_{X/Y}T = \delta^n_{X/Y} qpT - \delta^n_{X/Y}T = 0,$$

we have $jT \in \mathcal{BL}^{n+1}(\mathcal{A}, Y)$ for all $T \in \mathcal{BL}^n(\mathcal{A}, X/Y)$.

If $T \in \mathcal{Z}^n(\mathcal{A}, Y)$, then $\iota_0(T) \in \mathcal{Z}^n(\mathcal{A}, X)$, thus ι_0 maps $\mathcal{Z}^n(\mathcal{A}, Y)$ into $\mathcal{Z}^n(\mathcal{A}, X)$. Also, an easy verification shows that q_0 maps $\mathcal{Z}^n(\mathcal{A}, X)$ into $\mathcal{Z}^n(\mathcal{A}, X/Y)$ and j maps $\mathcal{Z}^n(\mathcal{A}, X/Y)$ into $\mathcal{Z}^{n+1}(\mathcal{A}, Y)$. Thus we obtain the sequence

$$\cdots \to \mathcal{Z}^n(\mathcal{A}, Y) \xrightarrow{\iota_0} \mathcal{Z}^n(\mathcal{A}, X) \xrightarrow{q_0} \mathcal{Z}^n(\mathcal{A}, X/Y) \xrightarrow{j} \mathcal{Z}^{n+1}(\mathcal{A}, Y) \xrightarrow{\iota_1} \cdots. \tag{3.1}$$

If $T \in \mathcal{Z}^{n-1}(\mathcal{A}, X/Y)$, then

$$j\delta^{n-1}_{X/Y}T = \delta^n_X p\delta^{n-1}_{X/Y}T - p\delta^n_{X/Y}\delta^{n-1}_{X/Y}T = \delta^n_X p\delta^{n-1}_{X/Y}T = -\delta^n_Y(\delta^n_X pT - p\delta^n_{X/Y}T) = \delta^n_Y(-jT).$$

Thus j maps $\mathcal{B}^n(\mathcal{A}, X/Y)$ into $\mathcal{B}^{n+1}(\mathcal{A}, Y)$. Similar arguments show that ι_0 maps $\mathcal{B}^n(\mathcal{A}, Y)$ into $\mathcal{B}^n(\mathcal{A}, X)$ and q_0 maps $\mathcal{B}^n(\mathcal{A}, X)$ into $\mathcal{B}^n(\mathcal{A}, X/Y)$ and we have the sequence

$$\cdots \to \mathcal{B}^n(\mathcal{A}, Y) \xrightarrow{\iota_0} \mathcal{B}^n(\mathcal{A}, X) \xrightarrow{q_0} \mathcal{B}^n(\mathcal{A}, X/Y) \xrightarrow{j} \mathcal{B}^{n+1}(\mathcal{A}, Y) \xrightarrow{\iota_1} \cdots. \tag{3.2}$$

Since ι_0, q_0 and j are strongly continuous, the sequence (3.2) extends to the sequence

$$\cdots \to \overline{\mathcal{B}^n(\mathcal{A}, Y)}^{\text{strong}} \xrightarrow{\iota_0} \overline{\mathcal{B}^n(\mathcal{A}, X)}^{\text{strong}} \xrightarrow{q_0} \overline{\mathcal{B}^n(\mathcal{A}, X/Y)}^{\text{strong}} \xrightarrow{j} \overline{\mathcal{B}^{n+1}(\mathcal{A}, Y)}^{\text{strong}} \xrightarrow{\iota_1} \cdots,$$

where the extended maps are still denoted by ι_0, q_0 and j, respectively. These maps induce the maps $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3$ and φ_4 in the following sequence

$$\cdots \to \mathcal{H}^n_{app}(\mathcal{A}, Y) \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} \mathcal{H}^n_{app}(\mathcal{A}, X) \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} \mathcal{H}^n_{app}(\mathcal{A}, X/Y) \xrightarrow{\varphi_3} \mathcal{H}^{n+1}_{app}(\mathcal{A}, Y) \xrightarrow{\varphi_4} \cdots, \tag{3.3}$$

which are defined by

$$\varphi_1(T_1 + \overline{\mathcal{B}^n(\mathcal{A}, Y)}^{\text{strong}}) = \iota_0 T_1 + \overline{\mathcal{B}^n(\mathcal{A}, X)}^{\text{strong}},$$
$$\varphi_2(T_2 + \overline{\mathcal{B}^n(\mathcal{A}, X)}^{\text{strong}}) = q_0 T_2 + \overline{\mathcal{B}^n(\mathcal{A}, X/Y)}^{\text{strong}},$$
$$\varphi_3(T_3 + \overline{\mathcal{B}^n(\mathcal{A}, X/Y)}^{\text{strong}}) = jT_3 + \overline{\mathcal{B}^{n+1}(\mathcal{A}, Y)}^{\text{strong}},$$

$$\varphi_4(T_4 + \overline{\mathcal{B}^{n+1}(\mathcal{A}, Y)}^{\text{strong}}) = \iota_1 T_4 + \overline{\mathcal{B}^{n+1}(\mathcal{A}, X)}^{\text{strong}}.$$

We show that the sequence (3.3) is exact. To show exactness at $\mathcal{H}^n_{app}(\mathcal{A}, X)$, we have to show that $\operatorname{ran}\varphi_1 = \ker\varphi_2$, that is, for $T \in \mathcal{Z}^n(\mathcal{A}, X)$, the following cases are equivalent

(i) $q_0 T = \lim_\alpha \delta^{n-1}_{X/Y} T_\alpha$, for a net $(T_\alpha) \subseteq \mathcal{B}^{n-1}(\mathcal{A}, X/Y)$.
(ii) There exist $S \in \mathcal{Z}^n(\mathcal{A}, Y)$ and $(S_\alpha)_\alpha \subseteq \mathcal{B}^{n-1}(\mathcal{A}, X)$ such that $T = \iota_0 S + \lim_\alpha \delta^{n-1}_X S_\alpha$.

For each α, we take $S_\alpha = pT_\alpha$ and we put $S = T - \lim_\alpha \delta^{n-1}_X pT_\alpha$ which shows that (i)⇒(ii). For the converse, for every α, we put $T_\alpha = qS_\alpha \in \mathcal{BL}^{n-1}(\mathcal{A}, X/Y)$. Then

$$qT = q\iota_0 S + \lim_\alpha q\delta^{n-1}_X S_\alpha = \lim_\alpha \delta^{n-1}_{X/Y} T_\alpha,$$

thus the implication (ii)⇒(i) holds.

To show exactness at $\mathcal{H}^n_{app}(\mathcal{A}, X/Y)$, we need to verify that $\operatorname{ran}\varphi_2 = \ker\varphi_3$, that is, for every $T \in \mathcal{Z}^n(\mathcal{A}, X/Y)$, the following cases are equivalent

(i) There exists a net $(S_\alpha)_\alpha \subseteq \mathcal{B}^n(\mathcal{A}, Y)$ such that $jT = \lim_\alpha \delta^n_Y S_\alpha$.
(ii) There exist $S \in \mathcal{Z}^n(\mathcal{A}, Y)$ and $(R_\alpha)_\alpha \subseteq \mathcal{B}^{n-1}(\mathcal{A}, X/Y)$ such that $T = qS + \lim_\alpha \delta^{n-1}_{X/Y} R_\alpha$.

Taking $S = pT - \lim_\alpha \delta^n_Y S_\alpha$ and $R_\alpha = 0$ for each α, we have

$$T = qpT = qS + \lim_q jT = qS,$$

so we see that (i)⇒(ii).
To see the case (ii)⇒(i), put $S_\alpha = pqS - S - (\delta^{n-1}_X p - p\delta^{n-1}_{X/Y})R_\alpha \in \mathcal{BL}^n(\mathcal{A}, Y)$. Then

$$\delta^n_X S_\alpha = \delta^n_X pqS + \delta^n_X p\delta^{n-1}_{X/Y} R_\alpha.$$

So, by (ii) we have $\delta^n_X pqS = \delta^n_X pT - \lim_\alpha \delta^n_X p\delta^{n-1}_{X/Y} R_\alpha$. This yields $\lim_\alpha \delta^n_X S_\alpha = \delta^n_X pT = jT$.

To show exactness at $\mathcal{H}^{n+1}_{app}(\mathcal{A}, Y)$, we need to show that $\operatorname{ran}\varphi_3 = \ker\varphi_4$, that is, for every $T \in \mathcal{Z}^{n+1}(\mathcal{A}, Y)$, the following cases are equivalent

(i) There exists a net $(S_\alpha)_\alpha \subseteq \mathcal{BL}^n(\mathcal{A}, Y)$ such that $\iota_1 T = \lim_\alpha \delta^n_Y S_\alpha$.
(ii) There exist $S \in \mathcal{Z}^n(\mathcal{A}, X/Y)$ and $(R_\alpha)_\alpha \subseteq \mathcal{BL}^n(\mathcal{A}, Y)$ such that $T = jS + \lim_\alpha \delta^n_Y R_\alpha = \delta^n_X pS + \lim_\alpha \delta^n_Y R_\alpha$.

By putting $S = 0$ and $R_\alpha = S_\alpha$, we have

$$T = \iota_1 T = \lim_\alpha \delta^n_Y S_\alpha = \lim_\alpha \delta^n_Y R_\alpha.$$

Thus (i)⇒(ii).
(ii)⇒(i) follows from

$$\lim_\alpha \delta^n_Y S_\alpha = \delta^n_X pS + \lim_\alpha \delta^n_Y R_\alpha = \iota T,$$

where $S_\alpha = pS + R_\alpha$. □

Corollary 3.6. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a Banach algebra with a bounded approximate identity and let X be a Banach $\mathcal{A}$-bimodule. Then $X_1 = \{a \cdot x \cdot b : a, b \in \mathcal{A}, x \in X\}$ is a closed neo-unital submodule of X and $X_1^{\perp}$ is complemented in X^* and for every n, $\mathcal{H}^n_{app}(\mathcal{A}, X^*) = \mathcal{H}^n_{app}(\mathcal{A}, X_1^*)$.*

Proof. Let $X_2 = \{a \cdot x : a \in \mathcal{A}, x \in X\}$. By [3, Proposition 1.8], X_1 and X_2 are closed submodule of X and $X_1^{\perp}$ is complemented in X^*. Also X_1 is a closed submodule of X_2. By Theorem 3.5 the sequence

$$\cdots \to \mathcal{H}^n_{app}(\mathcal{A}, X_2^{\perp}) \to \mathcal{H}^n_{app}(\mathcal{A}, X^*) \to \mathcal{H}^n_{app}(\mathcal{A}, X_2^*) \to \cdots$$

is exact. Since $\mathcal{A}$ acts trivially from left of X/X_2, by Remark 3.4,

$$\mathcal{H}^n_{app}(\mathcal{A}, X_2^{\perp}) = \mathcal{H}^n_{app}(\mathcal{A}, (X/X_2)^*) = \{0\}.$$

Similarly $\mathcal{H}^{n+1}_{app}(\mathcal{A}, X_2^{\perp}) = \{0\}$. Thus we obtain an exact sequence

$$\{0\} \to \mathcal{H}^n_{app}(\mathcal{A}, X^*) \to \mathcal{H}^n_{app}(\mathcal{A}, X_2^*) \to \{0\},$$

which means that $\mathcal{H}^n_{app}(\mathcal{A}, X^*) = \mathcal{H}^n_{app}(\mathcal{A}, X_2^*)$. Since X_1 is a closed submodule of X_2, replacing X with X_2 and X_2 with X_1, we conclude that $\mathcal{H}^n_{app}(\mathcal{A}, X_2^*) = \mathcal{H}^n_{app}(\mathcal{A}, X_1^*)$. $\square$

Remark 3.7. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a Banach algebra and let X be a Banach $\mathcal{A}$-bimodule. Then, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathcal{BL}^n(\mathcal{A}, X)$ is a Banach $\mathcal{A}$-bimodule via the following actions,

$$(a \cdot T)(a_1, ..., a_n) = a \cdot T(a_1, ..., a_n),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}(T \cdot a)(a_1, ..., a_n) &:= T(aa_1, ..., a_n)\\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (-1)^k T(a, a_1, ..., a_k a_{k+1}, ..., a_n)\\ &+ (-1)^n T(a, a_1, ..., a_{n-1}) \cdot a_n, \quad (T \in \mathcal{BL}^n(\mathcal{A}, X), a_1, ..., a_n \in \mathcal{A}).\end{aligned}$$

In the following theorem we will give an analogue of reduction of dimension for approximate cohomology.

Theorem 3.8. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a Banach algebra and let X be a Banach $\mathcal{A}$-bimodule. Then for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$\mathcal{H}^{n+1}_{app}(\mathcal{A}, X) \cong \mathcal{H}^1_{app}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{BL}^n(\mathcal{A}, X)).$$

Proof. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, consider the coboundary operator

$$\delta^1_n : \mathcal{BL}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{BL}^n(\mathcal{A}, X)) \to \mathcal{BL}^2(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{BL}^n(\mathcal{A}, X)).$$

By [4, Lemma 2.4.5] for $i = 1, 2$, we have an isometric isomorphism

$$\zeta^i : \mathcal{BL}^{n+i}(\mathcal{A}, X) \to \mathcal{BL}^i(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{BL}^n(\mathcal{A}, X)),$$

defined by

$$\zeta^i T(a_1, ..., a_i)(a_{i+1}, ..., a_{i+n}) = T(a_1, ..., a_i, a_{i+1}, ..., a_{i+n}), \quad (a_1, ..., a_{i+n} \in \mathcal{A}, T \in \mathcal{BL}^{n+i}(\mathcal{A}, X)).$$

Then for every $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_n^1\zeta^1(T)(a,b)(a_1,...,a_n) &= a\cdot(\zeta^1T)(b)(a_1,...,a_n) - (\zeta^1T)(ab)(a_1,...,a_n) + (\zeta^1T)(a)\cdot b(a_1,...,a_n)\\ &= a\cdot T(b,a_1,...,a_n) - T(ab,a_1,...,a_n) + T(a,ba_1,...,a_n) + ...\\ &\qquad\qquad + (-1)^nT(a,b,a_1,...,a_{n-1})\cdot a_n\\ &= \delta^{n+1}T(a,b,a_1,...,a_n)\\ &= \zeta^2\delta^{n+1}(T)(a,b)(a_1,...,a_n),\end{aligned}$$

so we have

$$\delta_n^1\circ\zeta^1 = \zeta^2\circ\delta^{n+1}, \tag{3.4}$$

that is, the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{BL}^{n+1}(\mathcal{A},X) \arrow[r, "\delta^{n+1}"] \arrow[d, "\zeta^1"'] & \mathcal{BL}^{n+2}(\mathcal{A},X) \arrow[d, "\zeta^2"] \\
\mathcal{BL}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{BL}^n(\mathcal{A},X)) \arrow[r, "\delta_n^1"'] & \mathcal{BL}^2(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{BL}^n(\mathcal{A},X)).
\end{tikzcd}$$

We show that

$$\mathcal{Z}^{n+1}(\mathcal{A},X) \cong \mathcal{Z}^1(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{BL}^n(\mathcal{A},X)). \tag{3.5}$$

$$T\in\mathcal{Z}^{n+1}(\mathcal{A},X) \Leftrightarrow \delta^{n+1}T = 0 \Leftrightarrow \delta_n^1\zeta^1T = \zeta^2\delta^{n+1}T = 0 \Leftrightarrow \zeta^1T\in\mathcal{Z}^1(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{BL}^n(\mathcal{A},X)).$$

Now we show that $\overline{\mathcal{B}^{n+1}(\mathcal{A},X)}^{\text{strong}} \cong \overline{\mathcal{B}^1(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{BL}^n(\mathcal{A},X))}^{\text{strong}}$. The following diagram commutes

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{BL}^{n}(\mathcal{A},X) \arrow[r, "\delta^{n}"] \arrow[d, "id"'] & \mathcal{BL}^{n+1}(\mathcal{A},X) \arrow[d, "\zeta^1"] \\
\mathcal{BL}^{n}(\mathcal{A},X) \arrow[r, "\delta_n^0"'] & \mathcal{BL}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{BL}^n(\mathcal{A},X)),
\end{tikzcd}$$

because

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta^1\delta^n(T)(a)(a_1,...,a_n) &= \delta^n(T)(a)(a_1,...,a_n)\\ &= a\cdot T(a_1,...,a_n)\\ &\quad - T(aa_1,...,a_n) + ...\\ &\quad + (-1)^nT(a,a_1,...,a_{n-1})\cdot a_n\\ &= (a\cdot T)(a_1,...,a_n) - (T\cdot a)(a_1,...,a_n)\\ &= \delta_n^0(T)(a)(a_1,...,a_n),\end{aligned}$$

that is, $\zeta^1 \circ \delta^n = \delta^0_n$. Now if $T \in \overline{\mathcal{B}^{n+1}(\mathcal{A}, X)}^{\text{strong}}$, then there exists a net $(S_\alpha)_\alpha \subseteq \mathcal{BL}^n(\mathcal{A}, X)$ such that $T = \lim_\alpha \delta^n S_\alpha$. Since ζ^1 is an isometric isomorphism, we have

$$\zeta^1 T = \lim_\alpha \zeta^1 \delta^n S_\alpha = \lim_\alpha \delta^0_n S_\alpha.$$

Hence $\zeta^1 T \in \overline{\mathcal{B}^1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{BL}^n(\mathcal{A}, X))}^{\text{strong}}$.

Conversely, let $T \in \mathcal{BL}^{n+1}(\mathcal{A}, X)$ be such that $\zeta^1 T \in \overline{\mathcal{B}^1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{BL}^n(\mathcal{A}, X))}^{\text{strong}}$. Thus there exists a net $(R_i)_i \subseteq \mathcal{BL}^n(\mathcal{A}, X)$ such that $\zeta^1 T = \lim_i \delta^0_n R_i = \lim_i \zeta^1 \delta^n R_i$. Again, since ζ^1 is an isometric isomorphism, we have $T = \lim_i \delta^n R_i$, that is, $T \in \mathcal{B}^{n+1}(\mathcal{A}, X)$. Therefore

$$\overline{\mathcal{B}^{n+1}(\mathcal{A}, X)}^{\text{strong}} \cong \overline{\mathcal{B}^1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{BL}^n(\mathcal{A}, X))}^{\text{strong}}. \tag{3.6}$$

Now by equations (3.5) and (3.6) we obtain $\mathcal{H}^{n+1}_{app}(\mathcal{A}, X) \cong \mathcal{H}^1_{app}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{BL}^n(\mathcal{A}, X))$ as required. □

The conclusion before [3, Proposition 5.1] showes that a Banach algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is amenable if and only if $\mathcal{H}^n(\mathcal{A}, X^*) = \{0\}$ for every Banach $\mathcal{A}$-bimodule X and for every $n \geq 1$. For more detail, we refer the reader to [4, Theorem 2.4.7]. The following shows this remains true for approximately amenable.

Theorem 3.9. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a Banach algebra. Then the following are equivalent:*

(i) *$\mathcal{A}$ is approximate amenable.*
(ii) *$\mathcal{H}^n_{app}(\mathcal{A}, X^*) = \{0\}$ for every Banach $\mathcal{A}$-bimodule X and for every $n \geq 1$.*

Proof. (ii)⇒(i) is clear. We only prove assertion (i)⇒(ii).

Let $Y = \underbrace{\mathcal{A}\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{A}\hat{\otimes}\cdots\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{A}}_{(n-1)-times}\hat{\otimes}X$. Then $Y^* \simeq \mathcal{BL}^{n-1}(\mathcal{A}, X^*)$, so by assumption and by Theorem 3.8 we have

$$\mathcal{H}^n_{app}(\mathcal{A}, X^*) = \mathcal{H}^1_{app}(\mathcal{A}, Y^*) = \{0\}.$$

□

Proposition 3.10. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an approximately amenable Banach algebra and let X be a commutative Banach $\mathcal{A}$-bimodule. Then, $\mathcal{H}^1_{app}(\mathcal{A}, X) = \mathcal{H}^2_{app}(\mathcal{A}, X)$.*

Proof. Let $D \in \mathcal{Z}^1(\mathcal{A}, X) \subseteq \mathcal{Z}^1(\mathcal{A}, X^{**})$. Since $\mathcal{A}$ is approximately amenable, $D \in \overline{\mathcal{B}^1(\mathcal{A}, X^{**})}^{\text{strong}} = \{0\}$, since X^{**} is a commutative Banach $\mathcal{A}$-bimodule. Thus $D = 0$, so $\mathcal{H}^1_{app}(\mathcal{A}, X) = \{0\}$.

Next, we show that $\mathcal{H}^2_{app}(\mathcal{A}, X) = \{0\}$. By Theorem 3.8 and by approximate amenability of $\mathcal{A}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}^2_{app}(\mathcal{A}, X^{**}) &= \mathcal{H}^1_{app}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{BL}(\mathcal{A}, X^{**}))\\ &= \mathcal{H}^1_{app}(\mathcal{A}, (\mathcal{A}\hat{\otimes}X^*)^*)\\ &= \{0\}.\end{aligned}$$

Now let $T \in \mathcal{Z}^2(\mathcal{A}, X) \subseteq \mathcal{Z}^2(\mathcal{A}, X^{**}) = \overline{\mathcal{B}^2(\mathcal{A}, X^{**})}^{\text{strong}}$. There exists a net $(R_\alpha)_\alpha \subseteq \mathcal{B}^2(\mathcal{A}, X^{**})$ such that $R_\alpha \xrightarrow{\text{strongly}} T$. Let $q : X^{**} \to X^{**}/X$ be the usual quotient map, so $qR_\alpha \in \mathcal{B}^2(\mathcal{A}, X^{**}/X)$. Hence $\delta q R_\alpha = q\delta R_\alpha = 0$, that is, $qR_\alpha \in \mathcal{Z}^1(\mathcal{A}, X^{**}/X) = \{0\}$, by the first part. Thus $qR_\alpha = 0$, so $R_\alpha \in \mathcal{B}^2(\mathcal{A}, X)$. Therefore $T \in \overline{\mathcal{B}^2(\mathcal{A}, X)}^{\text{strong}}$ and the proof is complete. □

Proposition 3.11. *Let H be a subgroup of a discrete group G. If $\mathcal{H}^2_{app}(\ell^1(G), X^*) = \{0\}$, for all Banach $\ell^1(G)$-bimodules X, then $\mathcal{H}^2_{app}(\ell^1(H), X^*) = \{0\}$ for all Banach $\ell^1(H)$-bimodules X.*

Proof. Let X be a Banach $\ell^1(H)$-bimodule. By a conclusion similar to the prior of [3, Theorem 2.5], we may assume that X is only a right H-module. Let M be a set of representatives of the right cosets of H in G, that is, $M \subseteq G$ and for all $g \in G$, $Hg \cap M$ contains exactly one element denoted by $m(Hg)$. The set M can be chosen with $m(H) = e$, the identity element.

Define a map $h : G \to H$ satisfying $h(g)m(Hg) = g$. Let $Y = \ell^1(G/H)\hat{\otimes}X$ which we isometrically identify with $\ell^1(G/H, X)$, by [4, Theorem B.2.12]. We define a right G-action on Y by

$$(f \cdot g_1)(Hg_2) = f(Hg_2g_1^{-1}) \cdot h(m(Hg_2g_1^{-1})g_1),$$

where $f \in \ell^1(G/H, X)$. We only check the associativity of the action. Since $m(Hm(Hg_1g_2^{-1})g_2) = m(Hg_1)$ for all g_1 and g_2, by the definition of h

$$h(m(Hg_3g_2^{-1}g_1^{-1})g_1)m(Hg_3g_2^{-1})g_2 = m(Hg_3(g_1g_2)^{-1})g_1g_2, \tag{3.7}$$

which yields

$$h(m(Hg_3g_2^{-1}g_1^{-1})g_1)h(m(Hg_3g_2^{-1})g_2)m(Hm(Hg_3(g_1g_2)^{-1})g_1g_2) = m(Hg_3(g_1g_2)^{-1})g_1g_2.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
[(f \cdot g_1) \cdot g_2](Hg_3) &= f(Hg_3g_2^{-1}g_1^{-1}) \cdot h(m(Hg_3g_2^{-1}g_1^{-1})g_1) \cdot h(m(Hg_3g_2^{-1})g_2) \\
&= f(Hg_3g_2^{-1}g_1^{-1}) \cdot h(m(Hg_3(g_1g_2)^{-1})g_1g_2) \\
&= (f \cdot (g_1g_2))(Hg_3).
\end{aligned}$$

The dual space of Y is $\ell^\infty(G/H, X^*)$ with the dual action

$$(g_1 \cdot F)(Hg_2) = h(m(hg_2)g_1) \cdot F(Hg_2g_1) \quad (F \in \ell^\infty(G/H, X^*)).$$

If $T \in \mathcal{Z}^2(H, X^*)$, then we define $\tilde{T} \in \ell^\infty(G^2, X^*)$ by

$$\tilde{T}(g_1, g_2)(Hg_0) = T(h(m(Hg_0)g_1), h(m(Hg_0)g_1^{-1})h(m(Hg_0)g_1g_2).$$

If $g_i \in G, (i = 1, ..., 4)$ then substituting $g_5 = h(m(Hg_0)g_1), g_6 = g_5^{-1}h(m(Hg_0)g_1g_2)$ and $g_7 = (g_5g_6)^{-1}h(m(Hg_0)g_1g_2g_3)$ in $\delta T(g_5, g_6, g_7) = 0$, we have $\delta\tilde{T}(g_5, g_6, g_7)(Hg_0) = 0$, so that $\tilde{T} \in \mathcal{Z}^2(G, X^*)$. Thus by assumption, there exists a net $(S_\alpha)_\alpha \subseteq \ell^1(G, X^*)$ with

$$\tilde{T}(h_1, h_2)(H) = \lim_\alpha \delta S_\alpha(h_1, h_2)(H).$$

For each α we define $R_\alpha \in \ell^1(H, X^*)$ by $R_\alpha(h_0) = S_\alpha(h_0)(H)$. Then $T = \lim_\alpha \delta R_\alpha$, which means that $T \in \overline{\mathcal{B}^2(H, X^*)}^{\text{strong}}$. Thus $\mathcal{H}^2_{app}(\ell^1(H), X^*) = \{0\}$. $\square$

References

[1] F. F. Bonsall, J. Duncan, *Complete Normed Algebra*, Vol. 80, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1973.

[2] F. Ghahramani and R. J. Loy , Generalized notions of amenability, *J. Funct. Anal.* **208** (2004), 229-260.

[3] B. E. Johnson, *Cohomology in Banach algebras*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **127** (1972).

[4] V. Runde, *Lectures on Amenability*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 1774, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002.

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, AMIRKABIR UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, 424 HAFEZ AVENUE, TEHRAN 15914, IRAN

E-mail address: `shirinkalam_a@aut.ac.ir`

E-mail address: `arpabbas@aut.ac.ir`